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Abstract

Sperm morphology has been identified as a characteristic that can be useful in the prediction of fertilizing capacity. The aim of the current study was to characterize ram sperm heads morphometrically as a basis for future studies on the relationship between sperm quality and male fertility. For this purpose, ejaculates from 241 mature rams belonging to 36 different dairy herds were used to evaluate sperm head morphometry by means of the Sperm-Class Analyzer. Sperm samples, collected by artificial vagina, were diluted in PBS for the analysis. A microscope slide was prepared from single-diluted fresh sperm samples. Slides were air-dried and stained with Hemacolor. A minimum of 115 sperm heads were analyzed from each male. Each sperm head was measured for four primary parameters (area, perimeter, length, width), and four derived parameters of head shape were obtained. Significant differences in sperm head morphometry were found between rams (CV for morphometric parameters ranging from 0.9 to 10.1), and there were marked differences in the sperm morphometric composition of the ejaculates. For all parameters, within-animal CVs were greater than between-animal CVs. Within-animal CVs ranged from 4.2 to 10.6, showing the high degree of sperm polymorphism present in the sheep ejaculate. Significant differences in sperm head morphometry were found between rams belonging to the different herds (i.e., origin). An important part of the variability observed on morphometric parameters was due to the male itself, with an explained variance ranging from 3.6% for regularity to 34.0% for p2a (perimeter²/2 × π × area). The explained variance by the herd of origin of the males ranged from 0.6% for regularity to 10.8% for area. Our results suggest that a genetic component might be responsible for the observed sperm head morphometry differences between herds.
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1. Introduction

Significant differences have been reported in the fertility rates (number of females lambing/males inseminated) between healthy mature males [1]. The assessment of male fertility potential is very important prior to performing artificial insemination (AI) or in...
vitro fertilization (IVF) to ensure good results. To date, many studies have focused in the relationship between sperm parameters and in vivo fertility, with different outcomes [1–3].

The routine evaluation of semen, including normal sperm morphology assessment, has long been employed to evaluate the effects of freezing-thawing procedures on sperm cryosurvival. Poor semen morphology is an important indicator of decreased fertility in men [4], stallions [5], and bulls [6]. Sperm head abnormalities have been associated with early embryonic loss, lowered fertility and embryo quality [7], and reduced capacity to bind to the ovum [8]. Although normal sperm morphology may be an indicator of the fertility potential of a given male, until now correlations have been based on subjectively performed analyses. However, large variations between technicians and laboratories in the subjective evaluation of semen characteristics are known to exist [9] making accurate interpretation of the resulting data difficult.

The need for accurate objective assessment of sperm morphology has led to the development of computer-assisted sperm head morphometry analysis, ASMA [10,11]. The precision of the ASMA system has been used to detect morphometric differences in sperm head dimensions of fertile and subfertile males [12], as well as subtle changes in head morphology of spermatozoa from donors with elevated blood lead levels, whereas morphologic differences were detected by manual assessment [13]. Previous studies using ASMA have also demonstrated that cryopreservation affects sperm head morphology of bull [14], human [15], stallion [16], dog [17], and boar [18] cryopreserved spermatozoa. In these studies, sperm heads were significantly smaller in cryopreserved than in fresh-extended spermatozoa.

Sperm morphology and dimensions are extremely variable between species [19]. To date, ASMA has been applied in a number of species, including cattle [14,20], goat [21], boar [22,23], horse [12,24,25], rabbit [26], red deer [27–29], and humans [30–32]. As technologies for studying the characteristics and functions of individual spermatozoa have improved, it has become clear that extensive heterogeneity of morphology exists, not only between species but also between individuals within the same species or breed [33]. Thus, between-male variation in sperm morphology has been recorded for several species [17,34–36]. To our knowledge, little attention has been paid to the study of sperm morphology in sheep using ASMA. To date, there have been only two studies describing the use of ASMA in the ram [37,38], and no information is available about the morphometric characterization of fresh ram spermatozoa. Previous work [37] has morphometrically characterized the frozen-thawed spermatozoa of this species. Furthermore, efforts to evaluate the effects of different fixative techniques on ram sperm head morphology have also been reported [38]. However, these two studies used a rather small number of animals (i.e., 10 and 5 rams, respectively).

The Manchega sheep is an autochthonous dairy breed from Spain, which includes a white and a black variety. The white Manchega sheep variety is one of the most important Spanish dairy breeds, widely distributed in the central area of Spain [39]. Their fertility after artificial insemination (AI) at an induced estrous cycle has been shown to range from a mean value of 40% with cervical inseminations and refrigerated semen [40] to a mean value of 60% after laparoscopic intrauterine inseminations and frozen-thawed semen [41]. In the Manchega sheep breed, males have not yet been genetically selected for fertility, therefore different males selected for particular traits such as milk production are expected to exhibit considerable diversity if sperm characteristics are inherited traits.

Considering this background, the initial purpose of the current study was to investigate the morphometric characteristics of sheep sperm heads using ASMA as a basis for future studies on the relationship between sperm quality and male fertility. A further aim was to explore the variation in sperm head morphometry between individual males and that between rams belonging to different herds (i.e., origin).

### 2. Materials and methods

All chemicals were of reagent grade and were purchased from Sigma or Merck (both of Madrid, Spain).

#### 2.1. Study population

Animal manipulations were performed in accordance with the Spanish Animal Protection Regulation RD1201/2005, which conforms to European Union Regulation 2003/65. Adult rams were maintained and managed at the Regional Centre of Animal Selection and Reproduction (CERSYRA) located in Valdepeñas, Ciudad Real, Spain.

Computer-assisted sperm head morphometry analysis was performed on fresh semen of 241 rams of the Manchega sheep breed belonging to 36 herds of origin. Ram calves were purchased based on their expected genetic value. At approximately 3 to 4 mo of age, these...
rams were transferred from the different herds to the AI center (CERSYRA), where, after quarantine and training periods of 4 mo, semen was collected. Thus, all males were maintained under the same environmental conditions since they were 3 to 4 mo old. When these rams passed a strict semen-quality test (two consecutive ejaculates collected within a 3- or 4-d interval >0.7 mL, containing >3000 × 10^6 spermatozoa/mL, with >75% motility, >90% normal morphology, and >75% intact acrosomes), they started to be used for AI purposes. The fertility of these animals was 42.6 ± 19.4% (mean ± SD), ranging from 8.0% to 90.0%. Considering the herd of origin, the average fertility of the herds was 41.5 ± 10.6%, ranging from 18.2% to 75.0%.

All semen samples were collected by means of an artificial vagina during 2005 and 2006. Regular collection (i.e., twice a week) from the examined males was performed in the weeks preceding this study. Semen volume, sperm concentration, and subjective scores of motility (wave motion) were assessed shortly after collection. Volume of each ejaculate was directly measured in graduated tubes. Concentration was estimated using a hemocytometer. Wave motion was scored from 1 to 5 on a wet mount of neat semen (upon dilution in PBS) by placing 5 μL of the sperm sample on the clear end of a frosted slide and dragging the drop across the slide. Semen smears were air-dried and stained using a Hemacolor (Merck) procedure, originally described for staining of ram [38], alpaca [34], and red deer [27–29] sperm heads. Stained sperm samples were permanently mounted to the slide with a coverslip and dibutyl phthalate xylene (DPX).

Stained slides were used to perform ASMA using the morphometry module of a commercially available system (Sperm-Class Analyser [SCA]; Microptic, Barcelona, Spain). The machine was equipped with a Labophot-2 (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) microscope with a ×40 bright-field objective and a video camera (CCD AVC-D7CE; Sony Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) connected to a Pentium 950 MHz processor. The illumination source was centered, and the intensity of the bulb and the gain and offset of the camera were standardized for all samples. The configuration of the computer system included a PIP-1024 B video digitizer board (Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd, Quebec, Canada), the sperm image analysis software, and a high-resolution assistant monitor (Sony Trinitron PVM-1443MD; Sony Corporation). The array size of the video frame recorder was 512 × 512 × 8 bits, digitized images were made up of 262,144 pixels (picture elements) and 256 gray levels. Resolution of images was 0.15 and 0.11 μm per pixel in the horizontal and vertical axes, respectively.

The morphometric dimensions for head area (A; μm²), head perimeter (P; μm), head length (L; μm), head width (W; μm), and four derived parameters of head shape—ellipticity (L/W), p2a (P²/4πA), elongation (L – W)/(L + W), and regularity (πLW/4A)—were acquired for 120 to 125 images ensuring a minimum of 115 properly measured sperm heads after improperly measured sperm heads were removed from the analysis. The shape feature p2a compares the perimeter of an object to its area [42]. This parameter takes a minimum value of 1 for a circle, increasing when the shape differs from it. The measurements of each individual sperm head from each ejaculate were saved in an Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) compatible database by the software for further analysis.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using the R (R Development Core Team, 2008) statistical environment. Where applicable, P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant unless otherwise stated.

Previous to statistical analysis, the assumption of normality was checked out using graphical methods and Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test, and a study to remove outlier values was carried out.

For each morphometric parameter, the mean, the minimum and maximum values, the standard deviation, and skewness and kurtosis were calculated.

Moreover, the variability of each parameter at different grouping levels was calculated using coefficients of variation (CVs). Coefficients of variation were calculated as the standard deviation divided by the mean times 100 (for expressing it as a percentage). Previously, we determined the variability of the slide within ejaculate and the variability of the ejaculate within male in order to test if the variability due to the slide preparation or to different ejaculates would be high.

enough to hinder the rest of the analyses. Thus, we obtained semen samples from 10 males collected on the same day and processed to obtain three slides per male, calculating the CVs between slides (CVslide). In a second trial, we obtained semen samples from 10 males collected on three different days, calculating the coefficients of variation between ejaculates (CVejaculate).

We decided that an acceptable CV value should not be higher than 5%, which we tested using a one-sample t-test with the alternative hypothesis being that the CV had a lower mean than 5%.

Then, we studied the within-animal and between-animal variation to establish the best parameters to differentiate among males on the basis of their sperm morphometric parameters, calculating the coefficients of variation within animal (CVwithin) and between animals (CVbetween).

A regression analysis to evaluate the effect of male and of herd (i.e., origin) on morphometric variability was carried out. The model used in that analysis was the following:

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu + \text{herd}_i + \text{male(herd)}_j + e_{ijk} \]

where \( y_{ijk} \) is the value of the morphometric parameter (length, width, area, perimeter, ellipticity, p2a, elongation, and regularity; 27,963 observations), \( \mu \) is the global mean of the morphometric parameter, \( \text{herd}_i \) is the fixed effect herd of origin (36 levels), \( \text{male(herd)}_j \) is effect of male \( j \) from herd of origin \( i \), and \( e_{ijk} \) is the error.

The explained variance and P value of each morphometric parameter was recorded. Explained variance was defined as the percentage of variance from the total variance that is explained for the effects on study.

To compare the variability among different morphometric parameters, a normalization of data was carried out. Thereby, for each morphometric parameter on each male individual, measures were divided by the mean value of this parameter. After that transformation, all morphometric characteristics will present the same average value, which will be equal to 1, remaining its own variability.

3. Results

Descriptive statistics of the whole sperm population were calculated to characterize Manchega ram spermatozoa. A total of 27,963 property digitized sperm heads belonging to 241 males were analyzed. Results are summarized in Table 1. The values for all measures of sperm head dimensions were determined to be normally distributed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test (results not shown). Area and p2a showed a large degree of variation between individuals (ranges, 19.0 to 53.3 \( \mu m^2 \) and 1.2 to 3.9, respectively). However, length and regularity were consistently maintained between rams (ranges, 6.0 to 10.9 and 0.8 to 1.2, respectively). The analyses of between-slide (within ejaculate) and between-ejaculate (within male) variability showed that the primary parameters rendered CV values below 5% (\( P < 0.001 \)). Therefore, we considered that the variability associated with these factors should not interfere with the rest of the variability study. Average values are shown in Table 2.

### Table 1
Morphometric characterization of fresh ram sperm heads.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sperm parameter</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, ( \mu m )</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width, ( \mu m )</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area, ( \mu m^2 )</td>
<td>35.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter, ( \mu m )</td>
<td>26.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p2a</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellipticity</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularity</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data were obtained from single ejaculates (n = 27,963) collected from 241 rams. Values of mean, range, and SD are given in \( \mu m \) (length, width, and perimeter) and \( \mu m^2 \) (area), whereas shape factors are dimensionless.

### Table 2
Means of between-slide (within-ejaculate) and between-ejaculate (within male) CVs (%) for the primary morphometric parameters.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV, %</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Perimeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between-slide</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between-ejaculate</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In all cases, CVs were significantly below 5% (\( P < 0.001 \)).
Within-animal CVs ranged from 4.84% (length) to 10.64% (p2a). Between-animal CVs were lower, ranging from 0.91% (regularity) to 10.10% (p2a) (Table 3).

Mean values and standard errors for morphometric parameters of the 241 studied rams are represented in Fig. 1. Statistical analysis of morphometric parameters showed differences (\(P < 0.001\)) between males for all the parameters under consideration. To definitively assess if sperm head dimensions were similarly variable between rams, we normalized the values for all sperm morphometric parameters (Fig. 2). The use of normalized values rather than absolute values (Fig. 1) allows for direct comparison between sperm head dimensions that differ in units of measure (Fig. 1). The normalized data showed that in general terms, p2a, area, and elongation were the most variable sperm head parameters between rams, with the opposite being true for regularity (Fig. 2).

In the regression analysis, the herd of origin and male effects were considered together. Both effects were significant (Table 4; \(P < 0.001\)). Variance explained by herd of origin ranged from 0.59% (regularity) to 10.85% (area). For the male effect, explained variance ranged from 3.58% (regularity) to 34.01% (p2a). The variability observed on morphometric data for each herd of origin is shown in Fig. 3. We found significant differences (\(P < 0.001\)) between herds for all sperm head morphometric parameters.

### 4. Discussion

Subjective evaluation of sperm morphology often lacks replication, and the corresponding CVs are very high [9]. This fact has led to the development of ASMA systems designed for human semen [10,11]. The introduction of ASMA has allowed rapid, accurate, and reproducible evaluation, providing an objective basis from which to study sperm morphology [4,5,11,37]. It is now simple to collect a large data set composed of thousands of individual sperm parameters in a relative short time.

In the current study, more than 27,900 spermatozoa representing 241 mature Manchega males were analyzed in an attempt to quantify the morphometric dimensions and the shape of sperm head from rams. The large sample of mean sperm head dimensions from 36 herds of rams (Fig. 1) followed normal distributions without skew or kurtosis. Thus, there was significant between-ram variation in sperm head morphometric parameters, but the overall population pattern followed a normal distribution.

The range of values for sperm head dimensions for all 241 rams in the current study were similar to those previously reported [38]. However, in thawed spermatozoa from 10 rams, head area ranged from ~28 to ~29 \(\mu m^2\) [37], whereas an average of 35 \(\mu m^2\) was observed in our study. We prepared the smears for ASMA from freshly diluted semen samples, fixed in methanol and stained with Hemacolor. The differences found between the results reported in the previous study and those in the current work could be due to differences in the fixation procedure [38], in the staining technique [43], or in the kind of semen (fresh vs. thawed) [15–18,28]. It has been reported that sperm heads were significantly smaller in cryopreserved spermatozoa than in fresh-extended spermatozoa [15–18,28]. Sperm morphology and dimensions are extremely variable between (sometimes close) species [19,44]. Although selective breeding has shown to result in significant differences in sperm morphometry between breeds within a species, there is still significant variance between individual males within a breed [19]. Thus, our study has revealed that there is a considerable variation in sperm head dimensions between individual males within a sheep breed (Manchega). Besides, our results have demonstrated that there are significant differences in sperm head morphometry between rams belonging to different herds (origin). Although we cannot explain why these variations exist, our results, taken together, support the hypothesis for genetic control of sperm phenotype.

Our finding that there are differences between spermatozoa from healthy rams is potentially as important as it has been the case for stallions [36], canine [17], alpaca [34], and monkey [55]. This finding suggests that the former concept of normality requires some reconsideration, with the introduction of new...
Fig. 1. Differences in sperm head morphometric values between animals (Animals 1 to 241). Circles represent the mean values and whiskers the standard error for the spermatozoa analyzed within each ram. Significant differences between rams were found for all parameters (P < 0.001).
Fig. 2. Differences in sperm head morphometric normalized values from males (Animals 1 to 241). Circles represent the mean values and whiskers the standard error for the spermatozoa analyzed within each ram. Significant differences between rams were found for all parameters ($P < 0.001$).
Morphology is originated during spermatogenesis when sperm phenotype appears to be controlled by genes transcribed in the premeiotic phase of development (diploid genome) [19]. Clear examples of sperm development and morphology under strict genetic control have been demonstrated in studies linking inbreeding coefficients and sperm morphology. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the between-male differences reported here were under genetic control.

Because males included in this work have a diverse origin (herd), we studied if differences observed for morphometric parameters between males could be due to that origin. Given that, in this sample of males belonging to 36 populations (the environmental factors were common to all individuals as they were 3 to 4 mo old), the effect of the herd of origin on sperm morphology suggests a genetic effect. The combination of an individual and herd effects builds strong support for the view that variation in ram sperm head morphology exists and may be genetically inherited.

In some species, variability of sperm head morphology shows low values within animals and relatively high values between animals, indicating a high constancy of sperm morphometric parameters of an individual and making it possible to differentiate between individuals using CV [38,49]. In our study, CVs within animals were higher than those observed between animals for all parameters, thus showing the high degree of sperm polymorphism present in the Manchega sheep ejaculates. Similar results have been reported in dog [50], horse [12], and alpaca ejaculates [34]. Contrarily, previous work carried out with five Merino rams reported that within-animal CVs were lower than the between-animal CVs [38]. The differences found between the results reported in the previous study [38] and those in the current work could be due to the use of different criteria to select the rams. The animals used in the previous work were considered to be fertile on the basis of their use for AI [38]. In our study, rams were not preselected for fertility or for sperm characteristics. The fertility of the studied animals was 42.6 ± 19.4%, ranging from 8.0% to 90.0%. Probably, if male selection had been carried out for fertility, we would expect to observe a less profound variation in sperm phenotype (such as morphology) within a male. This did not happen in our study because we selected males for particular traits such as milk production, exhibiting a great diversity in sperm size and shape within each male.

In some species, it was possible to differentiate between individuals using CVs within and between
Fig. 3. Box-and-whisker plots showing variations in sperm head morphometric values from herds of origin (Herds 1 to 36). Each box encloses the 25th and 75th percentiles, the horizontal line within the box is the median value, and the whiskers extend to the 5th and 95th percentiles. Significant differences between herds of origin were found for all parameters ($P < 0.001$).
animals [35]. The most suitable parameters for use in the identification of individual males are those characterized by relatively low within-animal and relatively high between-animal CVs, respectively. In the particular case of the ram, the within-animal CVs suggest that different sperm subpopulations coexist in ram ejaculates. The ASMA technology and multivariate cluster analyses have been used to define sperm morphologic subpopulations in boars [47,51], stallions [25], stags [27,52], and bulls [53]. This new opportunity to analyze small but significant differences between apparently normal spermatozoa is particularly interesting because the existence of subpopulations of “normal” spermatozoa presenting different fertility profiles in the same sample has been reported [25]. Semen analyses should therefore be performed to establish the presence of each of these subpopulations, not just to provide average values for the semen population as a whole [47,51,52,54]. Besides, different authors have pointed out the relation between sperm head morphometry and reproductive performance [12,42] and between semen cryopreservation and relative percentage of sperm head morphometric subpopulations [51,52,54]. Future work will use ASMA to identify sperm morphometric subpopulations in fresh ram ejaculates and their possible relationships with fertility and freezability.

In summary, the results of the current study showed that significant differences can be found between healthy rams concerning the sperm head morphometry. Besides, significant differences were detected in the sperm head morphometry between rams belonging to different herds (origin). Given that in this sample of males belonging to 36 populations, the environmental factors were common to all individuals since they were 3 to 4 mo old, the effect of the herd of origin on sperm morphology supports the hypothesis for a genetic control of this sperm trait. In the particular case of this study, the within-ram CVs suggest that different sperm subpopulations coexist in ram ejaculates. Now that the sperm head dimensions and shape for the fresh ram spermatozoa have been characterized, it will be interesting to analyze whether the morphometric definition of a ram ejaculate can anticipate its fertilizing ability. In this sense, our group is carrying out further experiments to evaluate the relationship between sperm head morphology and in vivo fertility in rams. Similarly, we are currently interested in identifying sperm morphometric subpopulations in fresh ram ejaculates and their possible relationships with fertility and freezability.
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